Psychological Stress and Mitochondria: A Conceptual Framework

Martin Picard, PhD, and Bruce S. McEwen, PhD

ABSTRACT

Background: The integration of biological, psychological, and social factors in medicine has benefited from increasingly precise stress response biomarkers. Mitochondria, a subcellular organelle with its own genome, produce the energy required for life and generate signals that enable stress adaptation. An emerging concept proposes that mitochondria sense, integrate, and transduce psychosocial and behavioral factors into cellular and molecular modifications. Mitochondrial signaling might in turn contribute to the biological embedding of psychological states.

Methods: A narrative literature review was conducted to evaluate evidence supporting this model implicating mitochondria in the stress response, and its implementation in behavioral and psychosomatic medicine.

Results: Chronically, psychological stress induces metabolic and neuroendocrine mediators that cause structural and functional recalibrations of mitochondria, which constitutes mitochondrial allostatic load. Clinically, primary mitochondrial defects affect the brain, the endocrine system, and the immune systems that play a role in psychosomatic processes, suggesting a shared underlying mechanistic basis. Mitochondrial function and dysfunction also contribute to systemic physiological regulation through the release of mitokines and other metabolites. At the cellular level, mitochondrial signaling influences gene expression and epigenetic modifications, and modulates the rate of cellular aging.

Conclusions: This evidence suggests that mitochondrial allostatic load represents a potential subcellular mechanism for transducing psychosocial experiences and the resulting emotional responses—both adverse and positive—into clinically meaningful biological and physiological changes. The associated article in this issue of Psychosomatic Medicine presents a systematic review of the effects of psychological stress on mitochondria. Integrating mitochondria into biobehavioral and psychosomatic research opens new possibilities to investigate how psychosocial factors influence human health and well-being across the life-span.

Key words: psychosomatic medicine, mitochondrion, psychoneuroendocrinology, mind-body, mitochondrial allostatic load.

INTRODUCTION

Foundational work in psychosomatic medicine first documented the main effects of psychological states such as fear and anger, and social stressors including social isolation, on health outcomes and mortality. The field then rapidly advanced by exploring the underlying behavioral, biological, and physiological pathways in search of modifiable mechanisms that would explain these associations and for which interventions could be targeted. Early on, this effort was guided by Engel’s (1) biopsychosocial model, the psychoneuroimmunology (PNI) framework (2), and more recently by the allostatic load model of chronic stress (3). These and associated models have enabled significant strides toward identifying mechanisms by which “psyche” and “soma” are functionally linked, as originally envisioned by the founders of Psychosomatic Medicine (4). Investigators have since focused on biological mediators of the stress-disease cascade including specific molecular changes, hormones, metabolites, and cytokines that reflect cellular activity. Identifying hardwired mechanisms linking psychosomatic processes to elements of the biopsychosocial model and to the current biomedical framework has thus contributed to a deeper understanding of interrelated psychological and somatic processes.
Work in psychosomatic medicine is driven by the collective vision “to integrate biological, psychological and social factors in medicine.” Past success indicates that this endeavor is facilitated by the identification of biological intersection points—where psychosocial and biological factors “meet,” interact, and trigger measurable cellular and health effects (5). The immune system represents such an intersection point (6). Immune cells respond to neuroendocrine substrates of psychological states and interact with biological entities such as the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), which in turn alter immune responses impacting wound healing, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) progression, and clinical outcomes (7). The brain is also a notable example, responding acutely and chronically to emotional and environmental perturbations, interacting broadly with other neuroendocrine and immune systems, and undergoing changes in both structure and function that impact health throughout human development (8,9). The successes of PNI and brain remodeling research highlight the notion that identifying biological intersection points is a productive endeavor for psychosomatic medicine research.

This article examines the mitochondrion, a multifunctional lifesustaining organelle, as a potential biological intersection point in psychosomatic medicine. The first section begins with an introduction about the origin and functions of mitochondria for the non-expert. A summary of the evidence that mitochondrial function and dysfunction contribute to systemic physiological (dys)regulation is then presented. We discuss progress in mitochondrial medicine and psychosomatic research demonstrating that the systemic pathophysiological states triggered by either mitochondrial defects or chronic stress exposure overlap, implicating similar cellular mechanisms. This overlap primarily involves the brain, neuroendocrine processes, and the immune system, suggesting that disease-causing psychosomatic processes could in part act via mitochondrial dysfunction. A systematic review of experimental evidence from animal studies and preliminary work in humans presented in the associated article (10) indicates that chronic and acute stress exposures alter specific aspects of mitochondrial structure and function. This may result in the accumulation of adaptive and maladaptive recalibrations in mitochondria in response to stress, altering mitochondrial shape within the cytoplasm (Fig. 1C) (19,20).

Mitochondria sense stress mediators. Of relevance for psychosomatic research, mitochondria evolved to be sensitive to a wide variety of environmental, metabolic, and neuroendocrine stressors and stress mediators, including glucocorticoids (13,14), estrogen (15,16), angiotensin (17), and cannabinoids (18). Metabolic stress, including high blood glucose and lipids, also influences dynamic processes of fusion and fission that remodel mitochondrial shape (26–29). Mitochondria speak the language of the epigenome and mitochondria—mitochondria evolved to be sensitive to a wide variety of environmental, metabolic, and neuroendocrine stressors and stress mediators, including glucocorticoids (13,14), estrogen (15,16), angiotensin (17), and cannabinoids (18). Metabolic stress, including high blood glucose and lipids, also influences dynamic processes of fusion and fission that remodel mitochondrial shape (26–29). Mitochondria speak the language of the epigenome and multiple mechanisms link their functions to fundamental aspects of cellular health. In fact, most of the human genome is under mitochondrial regulation (30). Systemically, mitochondria in lower organisms produce signals—mitokines—with broad actions throughout the organism (31,32). It is also interesting to note in the context of stress regulation that mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), contains genes that are critical to the flow of energy through the electron transport chain. The electron transport chain, or respiratory chain, enables mitochondria to use oxygen and food substrates to generate a charge called the mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨm). In the same way that batteries store electrical charges that can subsequently be used to power various devices, organisms essentially breathe and eat to charge their mitochondria. This charge is then used to produce energy in the form of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) to power neural activity, the heartbeat, muscle contraction, digestion, and every other cellular activities that occur under resting conditions, and during stress. Cells that need more energy typically have more mitochondria (Fig. 1B). However, mitochondria are more than the powerhouse. They sense, integrate, and signal information about their environment.

Mitochondria sense stress mediators. Of relevance for psychosomatic research, mitochondria evolved to be sensitive to a wide variety of environmental, metabolic, and neuroendocrine stressors and stress mediators, including glucocorticoids (13,14), estrogen (15,16), angiotensin (17), and cannabinoids (18). Metabolic stress, including high blood glucose and lipids, also influences dynamic processes of fusion and fission that remodel mitochondrial shape within the cytoplasm (Fig. 1C) (19,20).

Mitochondria dynamically interact with each other and respond to stressors. There are specialized intermembrane junctions that resemble synapses in the brain (21) and thin tubular connections (22) through which mitochondria exchange information among each other. In response to environmental signals, mitochondria also undergo dynamic morphological and functional changes. Chronically, these alterations of mitochondrial structure and function can lead to functional recalibrations (23) and to the accumulation of mtDNA damage (24). The accumulation of mtDNA defects impairs bioenergetics, is generally long lasting, and may be amplified over time (25).

Mitochondria generate signals of adaptation. Within the cell, mitochondria are near the cell nucleus (see Fig. 1C). Changes in mitochondrial functions modify cellular bioenergetics (23), leading to the production of biochemical signals to which the cell and its plastic (epi)genome have evolved molecular sensitivity (26–29). Mitochondria speak the language of the epigenome and multiple mechanisms link their functions to fundamental aspects of cellular health. In fact, most of the human genome is under mitochondrial regulation (30). Systemically, mitochondria in lower organisms produce signals—mitokines—with broad actions throughout the organism (31,32). It is also interesting to note in the context of stress regulation that all steroid hormones, including glucocorticoids and sex hormones, are synthesized in a process that is regulated by and occurs in mitochondria (33,34), further linking mitochondrial biology to stress signaling.

As discussed in the subsequent sections, the evolutionary-acquired critical role of mitochondria in complex life and stress responses helps to rationalize why mitochondria—a subcellular organelle—regulate whole-body physiological functions including the nervous, endocrine, and immune systems. This also helps to explain how genetic mitochondrial defects may influence complex whole-body physiological processes such as the stress response (35), the aging process, and multiple complex diseases that challenge modern medicine (36).
THE RISE OF MITOCHONDRIA IN MEDICINE

Across all areas of medicine, mitochondrial research is on the rise. The number of medical publications related to mitochondria has increased at a faster pace than those for other organelles, including the nucleus and genomic studies, which have steadily declined in the “post–genomic era” (37). The rising interest for mitochondria in medical research likely stems, on one hand, from more accessible tools to grasp the complexity of mitochondria functions (38) and, on the other hand, from a growing number of medical conditions now recognized to be caused or promoted by mitochondrial defects (36).

The discovery in the 1980s by Wallace and colleagues (39) that the mtDNA is maternally inherited that defects on the mtDNA (i.e., point mutations and deletions of mtDNA fragments) caused serious diseases (40) was a breakthrough for molecular medicine. It is now established that more than 200 inherited genetic defects cause a broad range of neurological, endocrine, immune, and cardiovascular

FIGURE 1. Mitochondrial content and function in humans. A, Hundreds of mitochondria are present within various cells and organs across the body. B, Mitochondrial content (i.e., the total amount of mitochondria per cell) varies according to energy demand in different organs, where they perform multiple functions ranging from energy transformation, signaling, and hormone biosynthesis. C, General schematic of a cell, its cytoplasm (green), nucleus (blue), and mitochondria (brown). Mitochondria are dynamic and undergo changes in shape through fusion and fission within minutes in response to external biochemical and energetic signals. Because mitochondria dynamically fuse with each other (fusion) and fragment (fission), they cannot be counted. RBCs = red blood cells; PBMCs = peripheral blood mononuclear cells; ATP = adenosine triphosphate; ROS = reactive oxygen species. Color image is available only in online version (www.psychosomaticmedicine.org).
symptoms (41,42). In milder forms, mitochondrial disorders cause metabolic disease (e.g., diabetes) and progressive age-related multisystemic disorders associated with morbidity and increased mortality, whereas in most severe cases, they cause death in the first years of life (43). Like psychosocial stress and trauma (44–46), mitochondrial defects influence various physiological functions and physical conditions at multiple developmental stages across the life-span.

With the historical role attributed to mitochondria as the cell's powerhouse, it was naturally believed that mitochondrial disorders were caused by energy deficiency. However, the recent recognition of nonenergetic roles of mitochondrial sensing, communication, and signaling has revealed a new paradigm where multiple mechanisms cooperate to translate abnormal mitochondrial function into pathophysiology. Of particular interest for psychosomatic medicine, mitochondrial dysfunctions affect most deeply the nervous, endocrine, and immune systems, which are understood to play central roles in allostasis and stress pathophysiology (47). The subsequent sections reviews evidence that mitochondria regulate key stress-related physiological systems, which contribute to systemic allostatic load as originally defined. Furthermore, we discuss the concept of MAL, which also develops intracellularly at the level of mitochondria and may contribute to systemic allostatic load (Fig. 2).

**MITOCHONDRIA, ALLOSTASIS, ALLOSTATIC LOAD, AND OVERLOAD**

Allostasis is the active process of the body adapting to stress via mediators such as cortisol and the autonomic, metabolic, and immune systems that act together to maintain homeostasis (49). Allostatic load refers to the cumulative effect of multiple stressors as well as the dysregulation of the nonlinear network of allostasis (e.g., too much or too little cortisol, or adrenalin, or prolonged inflammatory response to a challenge). Allostatic overload refers to the cumulative pathophysiological changes that can result from this dysregulation, both at the cellular and organ levels. The concepts of allostasis and allostatic load and overload emphasize that the same systems that help the body and brain adapt to experiences also contribute to pathophysiology when the same mediators are overused or dysregulated among themselves (50). Moreover, health-promoting and health-damaging behaviors that often accompany stressful experiences and, more generally, living in stressful social and physical environments all contribute to allostatic load and overload (49).

Mitochondria contribute to allostasis and allostatic load of the whole individual while having their own forms of allostasis and allostatic load within cells. The sequence of events, starting

---

**FIGURE 2.** Model of MAL as a source of systemic allostatic load. Mitochondrial allostasis is the active process of responding to challenges including the demand for ATP and other biomolecules to maintain cell function and survival, as well as providing biochemical signals (e.g., limited amount of ROS). MAL is defined as the dysregulation mitochondrial functions resulting from the structural and functional changes that mitochondria undergo in response to stressors. Challenges that overwhelm the capacity to respond and produce an imbalance contribute, over time, to impaired cell function, senescence, and even cell death. Clinical cases of inherited mitochondrial disorders demonstrate the direct influence of mitochondrial dysfunction on multiple organ systems. Because mitochondria are intrinsic partners and participants in systemic allostasis (48), MAL is a nested construct that contributes to systemic allostatic load and overload. MAL = mitochondrial allostatic load; ATP = adenosine triphosphate; ROS = reactive oxygen species. Color image is available only in online version (www.psychosomaticmedicine.org).
FIGURE 3. Mitochondrial stress transduction. (Left) Conceptual model of mitochondrial stress pathophysiology outlining the effects of psychosocial factors on health and disease risk via mitochondria. Mitochondria interact bidirectionally with stress mediators of allostatic, contributing to physiological stress responses and multisystemic recalibrations from psychosocial factors and stressors. Chronic activation of these systems leads to MAL, which is transduced through molecular signals into systemic pathophysiology, AL, and molecular changes within cells. Relationships between psychosocial factors (green boxes, top of figure) and pathophysiological measures (blue boxes, near bottom of figure) can be modeled statistically as a direct effect with mitochondria as mediator/moderator. (Right) Schematic representation of mitochondrial sensing, integration, and signaling of life exposures, including psychosocial stressors and emotional (negative and positive) states. Three main testable corollary hypotheses arise from this model: a) exposure to psychosocial factors and stressors induce MAL; b) MAL and primary mitochondrial defects cause systemic dysregulation and adverse health outcomes; and c) the end effect and biological embedding of the same exposure will differ based on the mitochondrial health of the system/individual. Bidirectional relationships exist between some biobehavioral and psychosocial factors, but are not depicted here for parsimony. MAL = mitochondrial allostatic load; AL = allostatic load; ACE = adverse childhood experience; ccf-mtDNA = circulating, cell-free mitochondrial DNA; HPA = hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal; SAM = sympathetic-adrenal-medullary; HPG = hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal; HRV = heart rate variability; ROS = reactive oxygen species; mtDNA = mitochondrial DNA; IL-6 = interleukin 6; TNF-α = tumor necrosis factor α; IL-18 = interleukin 18. Color image is available only in online version (www.psychosomaticmedicine.org).

TABLE 1. Potential Markers of MAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mitochondrial Features</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Physiological Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mitochondrial content</td>
<td>Decreased or increased mitochondrial</td>
<td>Energy production capacity, metabolic regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>number, mitochondrial size</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular damage</td>
<td>Oxidized mtDNA, proteins, and lipids</td>
<td>Multiple effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular composition</td>
<td>Lipid and protein composition</td>
<td>Multiple effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamics, morphology, and ultrastructure</td>
<td>Fragmented, elongated, or “donut”</td>
<td>Energy production capacity, oxidative stress, apoptosis,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>morphology; reduced number or abnormal</td>
<td>systemic metabolic regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cristae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic (mtDNA)</td>
<td>mtDNA copy number per cell, mtDNA</td>
<td>Energy production capacity, aging, systemic metabolic regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mutations and deletions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiration and OXPHOS</td>
<td>RC enzymatic activity, oxygen</td>
<td>Energy production capacity, multiple functions (gene expression,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>consumption rate, ATP synthesis</td>
<td>endocrine, metabolic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other mitochondrial functions</td>
<td>ROS production, calcium uptake and</td>
<td>Multiple functions (gene expression, endocrine, metabolic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>release, decreased membrane potential,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>steroid hormone biosynthesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitokine production and metabolite</td>
<td>ccf-mtDNA release, mtDNA-encoded</td>
<td>Paracrine and endocrine effects on multiple organ systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>signaling</td>
<td>proteins, Krebs cycle metabolic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>intermediates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAL = mitochondrial allostatic load; mtDNA = mitochondrial DNA; OXPHOS = oxidative phosphorylation; RC = respiratory chain, also known as the electron transport chain (ETC); ATP = adenosine triphosphate; ROS = reactive oxygen species; ccf-mtDNA = circulating cell-free mitochondrial DNA.
from the stressor towards mitochondrial recalibrations, can be conceptualized as follows: a) systemic stress mediators cause mitochondrial structural and functional adaptation (e.g., activation of hormonal receptors, fusion/fission shape changes, and reactive oxygen species [ROS] production); b) cumulative effects of stressors eventually damage the mtDNA (e.g., mutations and deletions) and/or induce lasting changes in mitochondrial content and energy production capacity; and c) mitochondria begin to produce signals, including mitokines, that influence cellular and systemic pathophysiological processes involving traditional allostatic load biomarkers such as lipids and glucose (48), but also other allostatic changes including gene dysregulation, oxidative stress, inflammation, and senescence (Fig. 3). Collectively, the structural and functional changes that mitochondria undergo in response to chronic stressors are thus referred to as MAL (51). Furthermore, the systemic recalibrations caused by mitochondrial dysfunction may further feedforward and sustain MAL, as discussed in the section “Mitochondrial regulation of stress reactivity systems: HPA, SAM, and Autonomic Nervous System.”

MAL is operationalized as the multifactorial alterations of mitochondrial biology induced by chronic stressors and may involve multiple functional and molecular indicators (see Table 1). MAL involves both quantitative changes in specific parameters (e.g., ATP synthesis and ROS production) and qualitative alterations in their physiological functions (e.g., fusion/fission dynamics, preference for fat or carbohydrate substrates, and production of specific signaling molecules). Both changes in the quantity of mitochondria per cell and the quality of each mitochondrion may represent MAL, depending on the stressor and the cell type.

A key distinction between MAL and the traditional allostatic load index must be noted. Whereas allostatic load biomarkers are individual molecular entities, such as circulating proteins (i.e., cytokines) or metabolites, mitochondria are living symbiotic microorganisms. A single protein such as a secreted interleukin is best characterized by its abundance: it can be higher or lower in concentration. In contrast, living systems, regardless of their size, are generally best characterized by dynamic measures of their rhythms and functions (52). Based on this principle, stress-induced changes in mitochondrial energy production capacity, or ROS production, will be more accurately reflected by enzymatic activities measured over a period, rather than by the fixed amount of specific mitochondrial proteins. In keeping with the definition of MAL as the collective changes in structure and functions, MAL should be more precisely quantified with a combination of measures that reflect and integrate multiple dynamic functions—or MAL indices. Because mitochondria are different between cell types and tissues, we may gain in specificity and sensitivity by developing cell-type-specific MAL indices for blood leukocytes, buccal cells, muscle, brain, etc. Furthermore, it is conceivable that certain MAL indices will be most specific and/or sensitive to certain types or duration of stressors, a question that remains to be explored.

In the same way that the allostatic load index has evolved substantially since its inception (3,53), MAL measurements are bound to evolve and become more precise. This evolution will be driven by three main factors: a) our increasing understanding of various facets of mitochondrial biology, b) of their relevance to stress physiology and psychosomatic medicine, and c) by technical developments that will permit an increasing number of suitable mitochondrial measures from accessible biological samples (e.g., plasma, leukocytes, buccal cells, hairs, etc).

Developing robust MAL measures will enable researchers to address two major questions: a) What are the effects of psychosocial stress and emotional states on mitochondrial functions? b) What are the physiological and health consequences of MAL. Because mitochondria are present in every cell and organ of the body, MAL can theoretically engender organ-specific effects, as seen in mitochondrial diseases. The presented framework positions mitochondria as an integrating element of stress-disease cascade (Fig. 3, right), lying at the interface of the psychosocial and behavioral factors and the organism. Research is needed to better define not only the existing relationships between mitochondria and the various systems involved in psychosomatic processes, but also the resulting systemic and organ-specific effects of MAL. Moreover, stress-induced mtDNA and other damage could represent a mechanism for the biological “embedding” (9) of stressful experiences at the mitochondrial level. However, empirical research using longitudinal and prospective study designs will be necessary to evaluate this possibility, and if proven, to evaluate its reversibility.

In mapping the relationships between psychosocial exposures across one’s lifetime and MAL, the kinetics of mitochondrial responses to various stressors should be considered. Mitochondrial responses to stress may be biphasic. For example, low levels of glucocorticoids may enhance mitochondrial calcium buffering but, at high doses, result in decreased calcium-buffering capacity, excess free radicals generation, and sensitization to cell death (14). Thus, molecular and functional recalibrations in mitochondria may be best characterized by inverted U-shaped responses that vary either in kinetics or in amplitude, which reflect resilience and adaptive (in)capacity of the system (Fig. 4). From a research design perspective, this underscores the value to measure mitochondrial outcomes at multiple time points, and to monitor stress duration, intensity, and type, which may synergize to cause specific MAL patterns. The next three sections consider the specific influence of mitochondria on normal and abnormal functions of the brain, the neuroendocrine system, and immune regulation.

**MITOCHONDRIA AFFECT BRAIN STRUCTURE AND FUNCTION**

mtDNA defects cause mitochondrial disease and multiple neurological symptoms that may preferentially affect the brain (56,57). In addition, other developmental and age-related neurological disorders not believed to be of primary mitochondrial origin also present with underlying mitochondrial dysfunction, including autism spectrum disorder (58,59) and neurodegenerative conditions such as Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s diseases (60,61). In diseased brain tissue, mitochondrial disorders and neurodegenerative conditions share common gene expression signatures, also suggesting a mechanistic overlap (30,62). In an animal model of Alzheimer’s disease, cognitive and neuropathological symptoms progression have been prevented with mitochondria-targeted antioxidant therapy (63), providing direct evidence that mitochondrial defects likely play a primary role in the etiology and progression of neurodegenerative conditions (64).

Structural changes within the brain, such as those induced by chronic stress on the hippocampus, may be indicative of...
mitochondrial dysfunction. Structurally, patients with primary mitochondrial disorders frequently present with atrophy of cerebrocortical, cerebellar, and brainstem regions (65, 66), as well as cerebrovascular disease (67). Genetic studies in animal models have also shown that mtDNA mutations can influence brain development (68). Likewise, some but not all (69, 70) studies of the human hippocampus have demonstrated shrinkage of the hippocampus in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease (71), chronic major depression (72), Cushing's disease where glucocorticoids are produced in excess (73), and posttraumatic stress disorder (74). The hippocampus is not the only brain region affected. Amygdala enlargement and overactivity, as well as hippocampal and prefrontal cortical shrinkage, have been reported in a number of mood disorders (72, 75). Moreover, psychosomatic conditions that do not qualify as “disease,” such as chronic (several years) stress exposure (76), systemic inflammation associated with metabolic syndrome and underlying oxidative stress (77, 78), lack of physical activity (79), and jet lag (80) have been associated with smaller hippocampal or temporal lobe volumes. Although it remains unclear from available research if mitochondria contribute to these effects on the human brain, evidence that cerebral atrophy is a neurological feature common to both psychopathology and primary mitochondrial disorders is consistent with a mitochondrial etiology of stress-induced brain atrophy.

Experiencing chronic stress evokes in the brain an array of adaptive responses consisting of neuronal atrophy in the hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex, and expansion of dendrite of neurons in basolateral amygdala (81). These are adaptive in the face of danger as they increase vigilance, but at the cost of some cognitive acuity (82). In a striking similarity to mitochondrial biogenesis (i.e., the formation of new mitochondria), neurogenesis is inhibited by chronic stress and enhanced by exercise (82, 83). In fact, exercise-induced neuronal stem cell expansion, a prerequisite for neurogenesis within the brain, requires normal mitochondrial dynamics and involves expansion of mitochondrial content in the hippocampus (84), underscoring a primary role of mitochondrial functions in brain plasticity. In line with this, hippocampal atrophy and memory decline occur in aging but are prevented by physical activity (85), similar to the age-related decline in mitochondrial function that is prevented by physical activity (86). Together, these correlational data highlight the potential parallel between exercise/physical activity–induced systemic changes in mitochondria and those that occur within the brain.

Stress vulnerability is also evident in relation to changes in neural activity, which entail variations in mitochondrial energy supply and demand. For instance, dangerous elevations of glucose for extended periods as in diabetes type 1 and 2 represent a form of metabolic stress that fragments mitochondria and promote mtDNA damage (20). In the human brain, excess glucose accelerates age-related brain atrophy and neurovascular damage, and impairs neurogenesis (87–89). In contrast, in animals, reduced energy demand during hibernation is also associated with hippocampal CA3 shrinkage (90, 91). Overactivation of the hippocampus in seizures and ischemia along with elevated glucocorticoid increases vulnerability to permanent damage, referred to as “glucocorticoid endangerment,” whereas reduction of glucocorticoids under these conditions is protective (92–94). In that connection, as mentioned above, there are biphasic effects of glucocorticoids on mitochondrial calcium buffering, with high glucocorticoid levels leading to a failure of the calcium-buffering mechanism and cell death (14).

In addition to structural remodeling, mitochondria also dynamically impact brain function and cognition via specific molecular and cellular mechanisms (95). In particular, smaller synapses and impaired working memory in nonhuman primates have been linked to abnormal presynaptic mitochondrial shape, such as the conspicuous donut-shaped mitochondria (96). Likewise, in mice, anxiety-related behavior (97), as well as circadian rhythm (i.e., physiological processes that occur within a 24-hour cycle) and hippocampal-dependent spatial memory, is affected by the...
mtDNA (98). Thus, both morphological and genetic mitochondrial anomalies are emerging causes of brain dysfunction. These are thought to operate at least in part by promoting the maladaptive structural and functional changes that the brain undergoes in response to adverse psychosocial environments throughout development (8). In future work, it will be important to determine if changes in mitochondrial function and MAL precede brain changes in humans, and if promoting mitochondrial health may have salutary effects on the brain and cognition.

**MITOCHONDRIAL REGULATION OF STRESS REACTIVITY SYSTEMS: HPA, SAM, AND AUTONOMIC NERVOUS SYSTEM**

Connected and downstream from the brain are the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) and sympathetic-adrenal-medullary (SAM) axes, which produce hormones required for the normal stress response (99). Preliminary evidence in mice suggests that mtDNA genetic variants can alter stress-reactive corticosterone production (35,97), and individuals with an inherited mutation causing mitochondrial oxidative stress were found to exhibit adrenal cortex dysfunction and hypocortisolemia (100). In patients with a genetic defect impairing mitochondrial ATP transport, resting circulating catecholamine levels are also elevated to about double the concentration in healthy controls (101). These clinical data indicate that both HPA axis and SAM axis activities may be directly modulated by mitochondrial function. This question was recently examined experimentally in mouse models with genetic mitochondrial defects exposed to restraint stress, a model of psychological stress in rodents (35). This work demonstrated that mitochondria influence all aspects of the stress response investigated, including cortisol and catecholamine levels (35), further positioning mitochondria as stress response modulators as depicted in Figure 3.

Likewise, cardiorespiratory and neuroendocrine responses, which are in part driven by a combination of sympathetic and parasympathetic autonomic nervous system inputs, are altered during exercise in patients with mtDNA disorders (102). This may partly be due to decreased vagus nerve activity both at rest and during exercise as evidenced by increased resting heart rate and decreased high-frequency power R-R interval variability in patients with mitochondrial disease (103). Individuals with mtDNA disorders also have elevated stress-reactive epinephrine and norepinephrine release by the SAM axis during an exercise challenge (104), possibly because mitochondrial defects alter the physiological and/or the psychological/affective perception of the stressor.

Whether these mitochondria-driven abnormal neuroendocrine responses to exercise also translate into abnormal responses to psychosocial stress remains unclear. However, evidence in healthy individuals suggest that those who exhibit stronger HPA axis responses to physical activity also show stronger cortisol release to psychological stress (105), suggesting that a common biological mechanism regulates the magnitude of responses to stressors of different nature (physical and psychological). Interindividual differences in neuroendocrine responses to psychosocial stressors also exist between racial and ethnic groups (106). Interestingly, mtDNA genes vary with ethnicity (107), such that differences in mitochondrial function could also in part account for interindividual differences in stress responses. Overall, more research is needed to examine if differences in mitochondrial functions are at the origin of known interindividual differences in HPA, SAM, and autonomic nervous system responses to psychological stress in humans.

**MITOCHONDRIAL CONTROL OF IMMUNITY AND INFLAMMATION**

The immune system exhibits particular sensitivity to psychological states and related neuroendocrine mediators including catecholamines and glucocorticoids (2). Immune cells are also a major source of inflammatory mediators (108), which feedback onto the brain and autonomic nervous system, and vice versa (109,110). Proinflammatory gene expression at the cellular level (e.g., nuclear factor κB) and systemic release of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., interleukin 6 [IL-6]) are thus thought to contribute to the effects of acute and chronic emotional states, both positive and negative, on health outcomes and other psychosomatic processes (111–113). Interestingly, discoveries over the last decade have positioned mitochondria within canonical cellular processes related to both innate and adaptive immunity (114). The role of mitochondria on the immune system and inflammation can be divided into three main categories.

**Mitochondria Trigger Inflammation**

Because mitochondria are of bacterial origin, their circular mtDNA and resultant proteins (n-formyl peptides) are recognized as foreign by the immune system. These mitochondrial immunogenic molecules, termed “alarmins” or damage-associated molecular patterns, are released under conditions of mitochondrial stress, particularly in response to oxidative stress (115). The release of mitochondria-derived damage-associated molecular patterns triggers the innate immune system through the intracellular DNA-sensing system cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (116) and systemically via toll-like receptors (117). In macrophages, activation of these systems by mtDNA that leaks outside mitochondria engages the inflammasome (118), cytokine release, and proinflammatory gene expression (119).

In animal models, mitochondria-induced inflammation results in cardiovascular lesions (120) and has been associated with neurodegeneration in humans (121). Interestingly, the anti-inflammatory signal of acetylcholine may prevent stress-induced release of mtDNA possibly via binding of a mitochondrial nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (115). Mitochondria-localized proteins encoded in the nuclear genome such as heat shock protein 60 can also be released from cells and become detectable in circulating plasma (122). From a psychosomatic perspective, a noteworthy study conducted among disease-free workers from the Whitehall II cohort revealed that circulating heat shock protein 60 levels were correlated with psychological distress, job demand, and low emotional support, as well as with cholesterol levels (122), indicating a potential link between psychosocial factors, mitochondrial stress, and cardiovascular disease indicators.

Another recently described pro-inflammatory mitochondria-derived signaling molecule (mitokine) is circulating cell-free mtDNA (ccf-mtDNA), which consists of mtDNA circulating in the liquid fraction of blood. Such circulating mitochondrial genome is either passively released from cellular damage or necrotic death,
or actively extruded via active secretion from mitochondria; however, the exact origin of circulating mitochondrial genome fragments is unclear. Ccf-mtDNA is found at detectable levels in human plasma and serum (123), where it likely exists as small genomic fragments (124). Serum levels are significantly higher than plasma levels, suggesting that mtDNA release is enhanced during coagulation (125), possibly by platelets. Ccf-mtDNA is particularly abundant in inflammatory diseases (123), as well as in cancers, myocardial infarction, and sepsis, where it is a prognostic indicator of disease and mortality (126–128). Strikingly, in hospitalized critically ill individuals, high ccf-mtDNA levels were associated with a fourfold to eightfold increased risk in mortality compared with individuals with normal ccf-mtDNA levels (126). In suicide attempters, ccf-mtDNA was also found to be dramatically elevated compared with controls and partially correlated with cortisol levels after dexamethasone suppression test, suggesting that the psychological state associated with suicidality might promote the extrusion of ccf-mtDNA into the blood. In relation to inflammation, mtDNA amplifies tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) release by lipopolysaccharide-stimulated primary human monocytes, indicating the immunogenicity of circulating mtDNA in human leukocytes (129). Besides stimulating inflammation, the role of mtDNA release as a paracrine or endocrine signals remains to be determined. Overall, mitokines like ccf-mtDNA are emerging as a source chronic systemic inflammation, suggesting potential new biomarkers of early-stage inflammatory processes known to be related to indicators of psychosocial stress (112).

Mitochondria Are Essential to Innate Immunity

In the cytoplasm of infected immune cells, energized mitochondria (i.e., with an active membrane potential) recruit the mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein, which aggregates on the mitochondrial outer membrane and initiate signaling (130). This process enables the cellular antiviral response by downstream activation of nuclear factor κB and interferon regulatory factors, which translocate to the nucleus to induce the expression of type I interferons and proinflammatory cytokines genes (130). Ablating mitochondrial membrane potential inhibits this response (131), whereas mitochondrial ROS potentiate it (132), illustrating bimodal mitochondrial regulation. Furthermore, as mentioned previously, mtDNA that “leaks” into the cytoplasm can also activate intracellular DNA receptors such as cyclic GMP-AMP synthase and directly trigger innate immune response genes independently of any infection or external stressor (116).

Possibly as a result of the influence of mitochondrial function on innate immunity, mtDNA variants—which vary according to ethnic origin (107)—not only influence mitochondrial function but also correlate with metabolic and immune parameters during antiretroviral therapy (133,134), as well as HIV/AIDS progression and mortality (135). This modulatory effect of mtDNA on infectious disease progression is believed to result from biochemical differences in mitochondrial respiratory capacity (136) and is reminiscent of the modulatory effect of both positive and negative psychosocial factors, such as social support and depressive symptoms, on HIV/AIDS disease (137). Mitochondrial regulation of innate immune responses may thus interact with psychosocial factors to impact inflammatory responses and vulnerability to infectious diseases.

Mitochondrial Metabolism Regulates Immune Cell Differentiation and Inflammatory Phenotype

Activation and quiescence of immune cells involve metabolic reprogramming where mitochondrial content and function are altered (138). For instance, upon injury, undifferentiated monocytes actively differentiate into either proinflammatory (M1) or anti-inflammatory (M2) macrophages. M1 proinflammatory cells rely mainly on glycolysis for energy production, whereas M2 anti-inflammatory cells show mitochondrial proliferation and up-regulation of oxidative metabolism (139). The same is true of lymphocytes, which cannot adopt specific effector functions (T regulatory versus memory) without adopting the correct metabolism (114). Not unexpectedly then, mitochondrial dysfunction influences immune phenotypes. For instance, mice with different mitochondrial genomes exhibit differential susceptibility to experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (140), and infectious complications are a significant clinical concern in patients with mitochondrial disorders (141).

In relation to stress, mitochondrial immune modulation may also involve glucocorticoids. Glucocorticoid signaling via the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) can significantly inhibit proinflammatory responses (142), and an important feature of the immune-endocrine system is glucocorticoid receptor resistance that develops with chronic stress (e.g., Ref. (143)). Given that glucocorticoids induce GR translocation into mitochondria where it affects mtDNA gene expression and mitochondrial functions (14), and that the mitochondria are central to immune modulation, GR-mediated suppression of immune cell activation may involve (nongenomic) mitochondrial mechanisms. The role of mitochondria in glucocorticoid receptor resistance, their role in the chronic low-grade inflammatory state that characterizes chronic stress and aging, and their contribution to psychological stress–induced inflammatory responses, all remain to be examined. Conclusively resolving these questions at a mechanistic level will require the combination of experimental approaches and population-based studies with repeated measurements of mitochondrial functions in parallel with psychosocial and neuroendocrine factors queried prospectively.

Beyond but related to the immune system, mitochondrial may also link inflammation to metabolic dysregulation and depression. There is a specific short-chain lipid molecule, acetyl-L-carnitine (LAC), which enhances mitochondrial oxidation of substrates and may protect and enhance mitochondrial function (144). LAC acts as an acetyl donor for metabolism and for epigenetic modification of histones (145) and for mitochondrial proteins in a biphasic manner (146,147). In animal models, LAC deficiency is associated with metabolic dysregulation, including insulin resistance and elevated triglycerides and leptin. Like the depressive-like behavior, this state is rapidly corrected by LAC treatment (148). Together, this evidence demonstrates that mitochondrial metabolism can rapidly remodel both immune and neural activities, which together influence the activation/deactivation of stress-response systems at the interface of psychosomatic processes.

MITOCHONDRIAL DYSFUNCTION AND CELLULAR AGING

Forty years ago, mitochondrial dysfunction, more specifically mtDNA damage, was postulated to represent the biological “aging clock” (149). Other aging clocks have also been proposed including
suggests that cellular metabolism in general, and mitochondrial respiration relative to their maximal capacity was positively correlated with cytokines (165). Results revealed that the basal mitochondrial activity was suppressed cell senescence (164). In another study, individuals with a history of psychopathology (162) showed a stronger association between telomere length and DNA methylation (151). Only recently has evidence unequivocally demonstrated that mitochondria influence the rate of aging in mammals. With age, the mtDNA accumulates mutations (152). To study this process experimentally, mice with a faulty proofreading mtDNA polymerase-α that introduces random mtDNA mutations at every cycle of mtDNA replication were generated. These “muttor” mice accumulate higher-than-normal mtDNA mutations with concomitant mitochondrial oxidative stress (153) and, as a result, age prematurely, living only up to approximately half the life-span of their counterparts with normal mtDNA mutation levels (154,155). The MtDNA mutator mice exhibit multiple signs of advanced human aging including muscle and brain atrophy, exercise intolerance, whitening of hair, and kyphosis (154,155). Interestingly, a study demonstrated that this progeroid phenotype is entirely avoided by exercise (156), suggesting the potential for profound modulation of mitochondrial functions and of the downstream physiological consequences by behavioral factors.

One possible mechanism by which mitochondria accelerate the aging process is by mitochondria-derived oxidative stress directly promoting telomere erosion. Mitochondrial ROS can cause telomere instability and shortening in vitro (157), and preliminary evidence suggests that individuals with mtDNA mutations causing mitochondrial disease may have abnormally short telomeres in affected tissues (158). A recent study where cultured cells were depleted of their mitochondria demonstrated that cell senescence, including the senescence-associated secretory phenotype, was prevented in the absence of mitochondria (159). Such evidence is consistent with the notion that mtDNA defects and MAL, via the production of signals that reach the cell nucleus, can trigger organismal aging and senescence, via telomere dysfunction and possibly other mechanisms.

Because biology rarely operates unidirectionally, mitochondria and telomeres are in fact bidirectionally linked. Telomere dysfunction resulting from telomerase (hTert) deficiency in mice effectively decreases mitochondrial content and function, involving the down-regulation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ coactivator 1α signaling and mitochondrial biogenesis (160). Accordingly, in human blood, mtDNA copy number and telomere length are moderately correlated (r = 0.12–0.56) (161,162), with the strength of the association being stronger in those having adverse childhood experiences and with a history of psychopathology (162). Should this association be replicated in longitudinal and prospective studies, resolving the mechanisms underlying this specificity in relation to psychological stress would yield important insight into the role of mitonuclear signaling in human aging.

One common factor proposed to contribute to both telomere shortening and acceleration of the aging process is inflammation (163). In one study, genetically enhancing mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I activity in cultured cells simultaneously increased cellular ATP levels and decreased ROS production. This mitochondrial phenotype was associated with a concomitant reduction in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated IL-6 production while suppressing cell senescence (164). In another study, individuals previously exposed to early life adversity had their leukocyte mitochondrial respiration measured in parallel with inflammatory cytokines (165). Results revealed that the basal mitochondrial respiration relative to their maximal capacity was positively correlated with IL-6, TNF-α, and interleukin 1β (165). This evidence suggests that cellular metabolism in general, and mitochondrial respiratory capacity in particular, may influence both inflammation and cell aging in parallel. If proven true in humans, strategies to improve mitochondrial function could represent an effective upstream countermeasure to prevent the deleterious health effects of psychosocial adversity and inflammation.

In the same way that telomere shortening is considered to indicate biological age, decreasing mtDNA copy number and increasing tissue mtDNA damage are aging biomarkers (166,167). mtDNA copy number measured in whole blood (including all cell populations and contaminating platelets) decreases with advancing age, starting around 50 years (168). Also starting after the fifth decade of life, the amount of immunogenic plasma ccf-mtDNA is elevated in older age groups, in parallel with increases in proinflammatory cytokines TNF-α, IL-6, and interleukin-1 receptor antagonist, suggesting a role of ccf-mtDNA in “inflammaging” (129).

In muscle of elderly populations, mtDNA copy number and respiratory capacity also decline with age (169), but these recalibrations may be attributed to physical inactivity because exercise training restores several, albeit not all, parameter to levels of young individuals (170). The accumulation of deletion of an mtDNA segment (mtDNA deletion) in postmitotic (i.e., nondividing, such as the brain, heart, and muscles) tissues is robust (166), representing a form of MAL. Moreover, as discussed previously, accumulation of mtDNA defects is promoted by metabolic stress (20,171). Metabolic stress in the form of hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia is also promoted by the action of glucocorticoids and catecholamines released during psychosocial stress and life adversity. As a result, stress-induced metabolic stress leading to mtDNA damage and MAL is a potential mechanism by which adverse psychosocial experiences and chronic negative emotional states may impair cell energetics and possibly contribute to the age-related functional decline and increased vulnerability to disease (51). In blood cells, mtDNA deletions have also been detected in individuals with coronary artery calcification and cardiovascular disease (172), representing cross-sectional evidence that MAL is associated with downstream organ-specific pathology. Thus, mtDNA defects and particularly mtDNA deletions may represent MAL markers linked to mitochondrial dysfunction and disease risk.

The study of exposure to chronic stressors and their effects on aging and life-span have mainly been guided by three general models, namely, cumulative risk (173,174), stress sensitization (175,176), and stress buffering (177,178). Table 2 outlines the parallel between each model in the context of psychosomatic medicine, with parallel facets of mitochondrial biology. These include the accumulation of mtDNA damage over time, the stress-sensitizing effects of mitochondrial dysfunction causing abnormal HPA and SAM axes responses to challenge, and the role of energetic capacity in buffering against certain types of stressors. In relation to aging and geroscience, mitochondrial dysfunction is thus considered one of the “hallmarks” or “pillars” of aging (179), which interact broadly with other biological factors that collectively shape aging trajectories.

**CONCLUSIONS**

It is with the vision to reintegrate psyche and soma that the first edition of *Psychosomatic Medicine* was published in 1939 (4). The field evolved from the concept of “milieu intérieur” (Claude Bernard, 1813–1878), subsequently built from understanding
TABLE 2. Parallel Comparison Between Theoretical Models Guiding Psychosocial Investigation of Stress Pathophysiology, and Biological Concepts Relevant to Mitochondrial Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychosocial—Theoretical Models</th>
<th>Mitochondrial—Biological Concepts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Damage accumulates over time, eventually reaching a threshold where dysfunction and/or senescence compromises physiological regulation.</td>
<td>Mitochondria accumulate mtDNA defects with age and metabolic stress, eventually reaching a functional threshold where energy production and other bioenergetic functions become compromised.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stressors becomes increasingly likely to cause damage or dysregulation under specific adverse circumstances or over time.</td>
<td>Organisms with inherited or acquired mitochondrial defects exhibit exaggerated and abnormal responses to subsequent stressors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial resources (e.g., social support and self-efficacy) and behavior (e.g., exercise) confer protection against the deleterious effects of chronic stress.</td>
<td>Up-regulation of mitochondrial content, mitochondrial networking, and mitochondrial antioxidant defenses increases overall mitochondrial function and as a result promotes cellular resilience to insults.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mtDNA = mitochondrial DNA.

How emotional states led to physiological perturbations (Walter Cannon, 1871–1945), and the discovery that chronic stress could alter organ structure and their function (Hans Selye, 1907–1982). With time, statistical methods have become increasingly refined, whereas larger and more sophisticated study designs have been elaborated to extract causal relationships between variables. More recently, increasingly precise biomarkers have been identified and applied to extend the reach of mind-body research into the cellular-molecular domain that is the core foundation of current biomedical training and practice.

Historically, it has been noted that progress within individual fields of investigation can be hindered by a lack of understanding of the relationships across fields (180). Conversely, identifying and studying intersection points between fields, focusing on different levels of function ranging from molecules to systems, has contributed to further the development of psychosomatic medicine. This is exemplified by foundational work in PNI and the discovery of intersection points between the immune system and the brain (99). Subsequent work on glucocorticoid hormones, catecholamines, and inflammatory cytokines, which carry information between various organ systems, considerably expanded our understanding of the interrelations between physiological systems, their activation by stress, and the role of brain remodeling in disease (8,112,181). The allostatic load model describing the impact of chronic stress and resultant health behaviors has been particularly productive in providing a quantifiable (182) and integrative perspective of multisystemic physiological dysregulation in response to chronic stress and adversity (183). At the cellular level, the discoveries that the rate of telomere shortening is modified by stress (184) and that gene expression is subject to social modulation (185) have likewise spurred new depth into the biology of subjective experiences.

Importantly for the general mandate of psychosomatic medicine, these molecular findings resonate with the subcellular focus of the biomedical model. Discoveries of novel biomarkers that dynamically respond to emotional states help to establish a common semantic and conceptual basis for discussion among psychosocial and medical scholars. This contributes to building common transdisciplinary knowledge and is of value to all scientists invested in constructing a comprehensive, or holistic understanding of human health and disease processes. While keeping in mind the whole individual, identifying increasingly refined biological intersections points, including mitochondria, should therefore continue to uncover new layers of complexity onto which we can observe, measure, and quantify the cross-talk between “psyche” and “soma.”

One of the objectives for psychosomatic research is to understand the basis for stress pathophysiology. How do adverse and positive life experiences leave biological marks, and influence trajectories of aging and disease risk? In considering the role of mitochondria in this process, evidence discussed above and summarized in Figure 3 indicates that mitochondria represent a potential biological intersection point that could contribute to multiple domains of stress pathophysiology. The proposed framework suggests that chronic psychosocial stressors and related emotional states lead to dysfunctional mitochondria and MAL, which in turn contribute to stress pathophysiology via multiple mechanisms including changes in gene expression and the epigenome, alterations of brain structure and functions, and abnormal stress reactivity, inflammation, and by promoting cellular aging. More research is needed to test various elements of this model, particularly in humans. Furthermore, although compelling evidence reviewed here positions mitochondria as a nexus for various stress- and aging-related processes, future research should consider the dynamic bidirectional interactions between mitochondria and other important physiological systems.

Mitochondrial biology is deeply interlaced with the basic molecular and physiological principles onto which lies medical practice and education. Exploring different facets of mitochondrial function and MAL in psychosomatic research should therefore provide new insights directly linked to biomedical knowledge, and hopefully contribute to the bridging enterprise with medicine. To do so, mitochondrial functions and related aspects of cellular bioenergetics should be investigated with the proper methodology, using prospective and longitudinal study designs when possible, in parallel with established neuroendocrine and molecular biomarkers.
known to be responsive to stress and other psychosocial factors. This integration of concepts and approaches will ensure a synergy with existing research and methodologies, promote collaboration, and enable us to map new pathways by which stress “gets under the skin,” all the way into the genome.

Integrating the many rich concepts and approaches from psychosomatic medicine, PNI, and psychoneuroendocrinology with mitochondrial biology under a common “psycho-mito-somatic” framework should enable us to systematically map the effects of psychological stress and other psychological states on mitochondria. Examining the resulting mitochondrial recalibrations and their downstream correlates should then inform us on the effects of acquired mitochondrial defects and MAL on important physiological, behavioral, and health outcomes. Ultimately, the successful integration of mitochondria in psychosomatic research should foster a more comprehensive understanding of the forces that influence our health across the life-span and of the factors that hinder our ability to heal from disease. Psycho-mito-somatic studies will hopefully illuminate novel mechanisms for mind-body interactions and form the empirical foundation to develop higher-level health-promoting interventions based on the principles of allostatics and bioenergetics.
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